

## Interview Summary

Application No.

08/187,879

Applicant(s)

Robinson et al.

Examiner

Dave Nguyen

Group Art Unit

1633



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dave Nguyen

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Elicabeth Matta

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Feb 26, 2001Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 44-51

Identification of prior art discussed:

NONE

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The examiner proposed claims that may obviate all outstanding rejections. Specifically and as to the amended claims filed January 29, 2001, the examiner indicated that if the amended claims are further amended so as to claim only the antigen encoded DN(s) and method steps (use of gene gun and multiple plasmids, for example) to those shown in the 1.132 Declaration of record, and claim only a method of reducing SIV or HIV infected cells in a mammal, the claims if amended will be in conditions for allowance. Applicants indicated that applicant will consider and respond to the examiner's proposed claims in the last week of Feb, 2001.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
08/187,879

Applicant  
Robinson et al.

Examiner  
Dave Nguyen

Group Art Unit  
1633



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dave Nguyen (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Elizabeth Mata (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Feb 26, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic. b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 44-51

Identification of prior art discussed:  
NONE

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The examiner proposed claims that may obviate all outstanding rejections. Specifically and as to the amended claims filed January 29, 2001, the examiner indicated that if the amended claims are further amended so as to claim only the antigen encoded DN(s) and method steps (use of gene gun and multiple plasmids) as shown in the 1.132 Declaration of record, and claim only a method of reducing SIV or HIV infected cells in a mammal, the claims if amended will be in conditions for allowance. Applicants indicated that applicant will consider and respond to the examiner's proposed claims in the last week of Feb, 2001.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Att. # 42

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.